## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

KURODA et al. Atty. Ref.: 1767-83

Serial No. 09/885,005 TC/A.U.: 2621

Filed: June 21, 2001 Examiner: H. Shibru

For: INFORMATION OUTPUTTING APPARATUS AND INFORMATION OUTPUTTING METHOD, INFORMATION RECORDING APPARATUS AND INFORMATION RECORDING METHOD, INFORMATION OUTPUTTING RECORDING SYSTEM AND INFORMATION RECORDING MEDIUM

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

August 5, 2009

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the restriction requirement dated July 6, 2009, Applicant elects Group I (i.e., claims 1, 12, 33, 42 and 56-58).

Because a restriction requirement is never proper unless the restricted group(s) of claims is patentably distinct (i.e., inter alia, non-obvious under U.S.C. Section 103) from the elected group of claims, the Examiner is requested to ensure that such patentable distinctness is present before proceeding to make the requirement final.

Applicant reserves the right to file divisional application(s) directed to the subject matter of the non-elected claims.

Respectfully submitted, **NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.** 

By: /Michael J. Shea/

Michael J. Shea Reg. No. 34,725

MJS:mjs 901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor Arlington, VA 22203-1808

Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100